

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
ABSTRACT

Estt – Panchayat Secretaries - Sri R. Kannam Naidu, Panchayat Secretary, (E.O.,Gr.II) (Rtd), Gram Panchayat, Jaithavaram, Vishakhapatnam District - Certain irregularities committed in the capacity of Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Devarapalli, Vishakhapatnam District - Retired from service on 29.2.2012 pending Enquiry in disciplinary case as per the APCS (CCA) Rules,1991 – Further action as per Rule 9 of APRPRs,1980-Orders –Issued.

-----  
PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT(ESTT.VIII)DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No. 241,

Dtd.17-8-2012.

Read :

From the CPR&RE, Hyd Lr.No.6019/CPR&RE/B1/2010,  
dtd.2-7-2012.

\*\*\*

ORDER :

In the Letter read above, the Commissioner, Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, A.P., Hyderabad has submitted that the District Panchayat Officer, Vishakhapatnam has reported that the Sri R. Kannam Naidu, Panchayat Secretary, (E.O.,Gr.II) Gram Panchayat, Jaithavaram, Vishakhapatnam District has committed certain irregularities in the administration of the Gram Panchayat Devarapalli Vishakhapatnam District. Articles of charge were issued to the individual and on receipt of his explanation, the District Panchayat Officer and Divisional Panchayat Officer, Vishakhapatnam were appointed as Enquiry Officer and Presenting officers respectively, as he has denied the charges. On receipt of Enquiry Report from the Enquiry Officer, show cause notice was issued to the individual and he has submitted his explanation to the show cause notice.

2. The Commissioner, Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, A.P., Hyderabad has submitted the Enquiry Report along with sectional notes and original records to the Government requesting to issue necessary orders as per the Rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules,1980, as the individual has retired from the service on 29.2.2012 on attaining the age of superannuation.

3. One article of charge was issued to the individual in the disciplinary case for demolition of house constructed by a SC women in the site allotted for Indiramma house to her for not obtaining the prior approval, which is not required.

4. The Charged Officer in his explanation has submitted that as per the information given by the Sarpanch i/c, Gram Panchayat about the illicit construction of houses in survey No.133 ,visited the spot and issued notices to them for stoppage of the same. They refused the notices and sent the same by Regd. Post. Subsequently the Sarpnach has give a report stating that they are already beneficiaries of the houses in the year 2005/06 as such they are not eligible now. After finding that the construction of walls are in the Poramboku land, the action for demolition of the wall has been taken which was within the functions conferred. The three people who were involved in the issue might have developed grouse and reported by Smt. Ramanamma, one among the three in the police station by twisting the issue with faulty contents to cover her erratic event.

.....2

5. Finally he has informed that he worked 37 years of service with integrity and impartiality and going to be retired in the February, 2012 and requested to expunge him from unrealistic faulty allegation.

6. The Enquiry Officer, in his enquiry report has stated that the action of the Panchayat Secretary in demolition of the constructed house may not be found fault with. However, the Panchayat Secretary should have maintained restraint in demolition act by taking all prior steps before restoring to demolition and hence the charge framed against the delinquent officer is not tenable as he acted to protect the land vested with Gram Panchayat classified as Cheruvu Poramboku.

7. After careful examination of the matter with reference to charge, explanation of the charged Officer and enquiry report and rules in force, the Government have decided to drop the charge issued to the individual in the disciplinary case as the Enquiry Officer has not found fault with the action of the Panchayat Secretary.

8. Accordingly, the Government hereby drop the charge issued to Sri R. Kannam Naidu, Panchayat Secretary, (E.O.,Gr.II) (Rtd), Gram Panchayat, Jaithavaram, Vishakhapatnam District in the disciplinary case pertaining to the Gram Panchayat Devarapalli Vishakhapatnam District, as the Enquiry Officer has not found fault with the action of the Panchayat Secretary, as per the Rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules,1980.

9. The Commissioner, Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, A.P., Hyderabad is requested to take necessary action in the matter.

10. The original record received in the case bearing the File No.6019/B1/2010 with NF Pages 1-10 and CF Pages 1-111 is returned herewith to the Commissioner, Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, A.P., Hyderabad and he is requested to acknowledge the same immediately.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

C.R.BISWAL

PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (PR)

To

The individual through the Commissioner, Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, A.P., Hyderabad.

The Commissioner, Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, A.P., Hyderabad along with original disposal.

Copy to :

The District Collector(PW),Vishakhapatnam District.

The District Panchayat Officer, Vishakhapatnam District.

The Accountant General, A.P., Hyderabad.

SC/SF-5

// FORWARDED : : BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER